

The Federal Communications Commission

Dear The Federal Communications Commission,

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable. My husband is disabled and we only use our trac phone for emergency uses. WHY IS IT THAT YOU RICH PEOPLE KEEP GETTING MORE AND THOSE OF US WHO HAVE TO WORK SO HARD KEEP GETTING THE SHAFT ?!?!?!?!?

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair! LIKE I SAID ABOVE, I ONLY USE IT FOR EMERGENCY-SAFETY-CONVENIENCE SO WHY IS IT FAIR FOR BUSINESSES-RICH PEOPLE TO CONTINUE TO GET THE BREAKS.

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.
KEEP THE USF FAIR !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Amy Williamson
RD 2 Box 94 A
Templeton, Pennsylvania 16259